No. 2017/Trans/01/Policy

New Delhi, Dated: 27-03-2018

The General Manager, All Indian Railways/PUs, NF(Con), CORE
The DG/RDSO & NAIR,
CAOs, DMW/Patiala, WPO/Patna, COFMOW/NDLS, RWP/Bela

Sub: Model Schedule of Powers, October 2017-Corrigendum

Ref: 1- Railway Board’s letter no 2017/Trans/01/Policy dated 26-10-2017
2- GM/Western Railway D.O. No. letter No. W118/0 Vol.IX (W6) dated 16.02.2018
3- Railway Board’s Letter no 2007/CE-I/CT/18 pt XII dated 31/12/2010
4- Eastern Railway letter no W(4) 416/20 Vol-VII. Dated 02.03.2018

In Model SOP issued vide reference 1, in the notes 5, 6 & 18 of item numbers 7, 8 & 9 respectively of Works matters (part –A of Model SOP), Gross value of the agreement is mentioned as “Gross value of the agreement due to introduction of additional items/variation shall be taken into account for arriving at the competency of sanction. While working out gross value, savings, if any, shall not be taken into account”. Interpretation of overall agreemental value in this manner, is not in line with the policy of Railway Board’s letter issued vide reference 3. And this interpretation is also not in accordance with the practice in vogue on many of the Zonal Railways including NR, CR, WR, SER, ER, SR, SWR etc. Western Railway has advised vide reference 2, that they have modified the note on agreemental value to be read as: “Overall agreemental Value, arrived at by adding the positive variation and subtracting the negative variation from the accepted value of the contract, shall be taken for deciding the competency of sanction”. This is in conformity with the provisions of para 1285 of Engineering Code and Clause 42 (4) of IR-Standard GCC 2014, as well.

Based on references of ER & WR, the matter has been considered and with approval of Board (ME, FC & CRB), it is clarified that Gross value of the agreement mentioned in notes 5, 6 & 18 of item numbers 7, 8 & 9 respectively of Works matters (part –A of Model SOP) issued vide Board’s letter under reference 1, should be read as:

“Overall Agreemental Value, arrived at by adding the positive variation and subtracting the negative variation from the accepted value of the contract, shall be taken for deciding the competency of sanction.”

This issues with the concurrence of Associate Finance of Transformation Cell Railway Board.

Kindly acknowledge the receipt and ensure compliance.

(T.K. Pandey)
Executive Director, Transformation

1. PFAs, All Indian Railways & Production Units
2. The ADAI (Railways), New Delhi
3. The Director of Audit, All Indian Railways

(Sanjeeb Kumar)
Executive Director (Accounts), Transformation
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Copy to:

1. The Director, Indian Railway Institute of Civil Engineering, Pune.
2. The Director, Indian Railway Institute of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Jamalpur.
3. The Director, Indian Railway Institute of Signal Engineering and Telecommunications, Secunderabad.
4. The Director, Indian Railway Institute of Electrical Engineering, Nasik.
5. The Executive Director, Indian Railways Centre for Advanced Maintenance Technology, Gwalior.
6. The Director, Indian Railway Institute of Transport Management, Lucknow.
7. The Registrar, Railway Claims Tribunal, Delhi.
8. The General Secretary, IRCA, New Delhi.
10. The Secretary, Railway Rates Tribunal, Chennai.
11. The Chairman, Railway Recruitment Board, Ahmedabad, Ajmer, Allahabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneswar, Chandigarh, Chennai, Gorakhpur, Guwahati, Jammu & Srinagar, Kolkata, Malda, Mumbai, Muzaffarpur, Patna, Ranchi, Secunderabad and Trivandrum.
12. MD/CMDs of all Railway PSUs

Copy to:

1. The Genl. Secy., AIRF, Room No. 248, & NFIR Room No. 256-C, Rail Bhavan
2. The Secy. Genl., IRPOF, Room No. 268, FROA, Room No. 256-D & AIRPFA, Room No. 256-D Rail Bhavan

Copy to:

1. PS to MR, MOS(S), MOS(G)
2. CRB, FC, ME, MTR, MRS, MS, MT, SECY, DG (RHS), DG (RPF), DG (Stores), DG(Pers), DG(S&T)
3. All AMs, Principal Executive Director & Executive Directors of Railway Board

(T.K. Pandey)
Executive Director, Transformation